

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/580,026	05/26/2000	Stephen M. Dershem	QUANT1190-2	9980
	7590 03/13/2003	L & CCDITO	<del></del>	
	CK CELLA HARPER	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,		MCCLENDON, SANZA L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
		DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/580,026	05/26/2000	Stephen M. Dershem	QUANT1190-2	9980
75	590 10/01/2002			•
Stephen Reite		EXAMINER		
Foley & Lardne 402 W Broadw	ay 23rd Floor		MCCLENDO	N, SANZA L
San Diego, CA	92101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	1
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002	/ 7
			Vo startes	13/13/03

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1:12				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
£ 0.55	09/580,026	DERSHEM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sanza L McClendon	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 I	<u>May 2000</u> .	•				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27, 29-30, 32-33, 36-44 and 46</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27, 29-30, 32-33, 36-44 and 46</u> are <b>Application Papers</b>	subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		ao Evaminor				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		• •				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 09/580,026

Art Unit: 1711

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8, 24, 27, 30, 33, 36, 39, 42, and 46, drawn to bismaleimide composition, classified in class 526, subclass 262.
  - II. Claims 9-18, 25, 29, 32, 35, 26, 41, and 44, drawn to thermosetting composition, classified in class 522, subclass 110.
    - FIII. Claims 19-23, 26 and 32, drawn to polyvinyl composition, classified in class 526, subclass 335.
    - IV. Claims 37, 40, and 43, drawn to microelectronic assemblies and bonding methods therefore, classified in class 428, subclass 57.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 1. Inventions I and II are separate and patentably distinct. The inventions of Group I and group II are capable of supporting their own patents.
- 2. Inventions of I and III are separate and patentably distinct. The inventions of Group I and group III are capable of supporting their own patents.
- 3. Inventions of I and IV are separate and distinct. The inventions of Groups I and Group IV are capable of supporting their own patents.
- 4. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the polyvinyl compound of group II can have other constituents, such as saturated straight chain alkyl, alkylene oxide or alkylene having at least 6 carbon atoms verses the branched chain alkylene or alkylene oxide having from about 12 to 500 carbon atoms of group III. The

Application/Control Number: 09/580,026

Art Unit: 1711

subcombination has separate utility such as a coating for glass, plastic, metal, or other substrates.

- Inventions II and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, intermediate product is deemed to be useful as coatings for substrates in other fields of endeavor, such as coatings for wood, metal, or plastics, and, in addition, the thermosetting composition will lose it's identity once cured within the microelectronic assembly and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. Inventions of Groups III and IV are separate and distinct. The inventions of Group III and Group IV are capable of supporting their own patents.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. A telephone call was made to Stephen Reiter on September 26, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a nonelected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR Application/Control Number: 09/580,026

Art Unit: 1711

1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanza L McClendon whose telephone number is (703) 305-0505. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0657.

Sanza L McClendon Examiner Art Unit 1711

SMc

September 30, 2002

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700